



case 9-597-324 August 12, 2025

Cristina Eckhoff

Siemens and Altair: Leveraging Al for Industrial Transformation through a Strategic Acquisition

In October 2024, Siemens AG, a global leader in industrial automation and digitalization, announced a \$10.6-billion acquisition of Altair Engineering, a company renowned for its advanced simulation and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven industrial software. This move aimed to strengthen Siemens' position in the simulation market, enhance its industrial software portfolio and expand its foothold in the growing Industry 4.0 landscape.¹

However, the acquisition posed significant integration challenges. Siemens' leadership had to assess integration risks, negotiate terms, and manage stakeholder expectations while maintaining operational efficiency and delivering shareholder value.^{2,3}

As the acquisition moved forward, Siemens' leadership team faced some critical questions:4

- How should Siemens integrate Altair's technology and workforce while maintaining operational continuity?
- What were the potential risks, and how could they be mitigated?
- How should Siemens communicate the acquisition's value to stakeholders while addressing ethical and cybersecurity concerns?⁵

These questions highlighted the complexity of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) and the critical choices that would define the success or failure of the Altair acquisition. Beyond financial and operational considerations, Siemens' leadership had to ensure the acquisition delivered on its promise of AI-driven innovation and solidified Siemens' leadership in Industry 4.0.6

Published by WDI Publishing, an Initiative of the William Davidson Institute (WDI) at the University of Michigan.

©2025 Cristina Eckhoff. This case was written by Cristina Eckhoff, Lecturer of Business Administration at the University of Michigan's Ross School of Business. The case was prepared as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a situation. The case should not be considered criticism or endorsement and should not be used as a source of primary data.