



case 8-761-888 June 22, 2023

Andrew Hoffman

Patagonia: Challenging Consumerism through Refusal to Co-brand Apparel

"The Zen master would say if you want to change government, you have to aim at changing corporations, and if you want to change corporations, you first have to change the consumers. Whoa, wait a minute! The consumer? That's me. You mean I'm the one who has to change?"¹ Yvon Chouinard, *Let My People Go Surfing*

It was May 2023 and Maia Garcia Vasquez,ⁱ vice president for global sales at Patagonia, sat in her Ventura, California, office as she waited to receive the latest quarterly sales and impact results. She and her team had been tasked by senior executives to develop a sales strategy based on the impact of the company's recent implementation of its refusal policy; a policy that said Patagonia would no longer embroider any corporate or other organizational logos on Patagonia apparel, in order to ensure its clothing had longer life and less impact on the environment.

In April 2019, her company decided to stop co-branding apparel with companies and organizations in certain industries, including financial services.² Subsequently, she had been part of lengthy discussions about pushing this policy to the next level. These discussions ended with the company's decision, in April 2021, to no longer allow any companies' logos to be embroidered on Patagonia clothing.³ This move shut down a steady revenue stream for Patagonia that competitors could pick up. Nevertheless, the company's aim was to achieve an environmental benefit: stopping production of products that would be discarded, because of the co-branded logos, prior to the end of their useful lifespan.

Maia Garcia Vasquez was accustomed to navigating the tension between financial performance and Patagonia's values of environmental responsibility. Refusing corporate logo embroidery was just one of many similar groundbreaking actions Patagonia had taken by 2023.

i Maia Garcia Vasquez is a fictional character.

Published by WDI Publishing, a division of the William Davidson Institute (WDI) at the University of Michigan.

^{©2023} Sarah Cole, Jess Halter, John Pontillo, Justin Pryor, and Colin Raaberg. This case was written by University of Michigan graduate students Sarah Cole, Jess Halter, John Pontillo, Justin Pryor, and Colin Raaberg, under the supervision of Andrew Hoffman, Holcim (US) Professor of Sustainable Enterprise, a position that holds joint appointments at the University of Michigan's Ross School of Business and School for Environment and Sustainability. The case was prepared as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a situation. The case should not be considered criticism or endorsement and should not be used as a source of primary data. The opening and closing situations in the case are fictional in order to provide a more robust student learning experience.