

Andrew Hoffman
Caroline Lynch
Rohan Shah
Leo Shear
Audrey Weadock

case 7-319-442
July 29, 2025

Keurig and Its K-Cups: Recyclability Claims and an SEC Investigation

Lucas Harrison,ⁱ a young attorney who began working at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in January 2021, was sitting at his desk, enjoying his morning coffee. Harrison was employed within the SEC's Office of Market Intelligence, which focused on analyzing tips, complaints, and referrals in order to prioritize cases for investigation.¹ Now, after a year on the job, one new file had especially caught his attention. This potential case involved Keurig Dr Pepper (KDP), a company with a \$41.6-billion market capitalization and tens of thousands of employees.² Harrison was immediately intrigued and knew that a case against a company of this size could make a significant impact on investors and the future of the company. The tip said KDP's sustainability statement that its K-Cup pods were 100% recyclable was potentially misleading investors.³ Harrison didn't know much about KDP. However, he knew that a claim of this magnitude would be a significant case. It would take him, and the SEC, more than two years to reach a substantive conclusion.

The SEC had a commitment to address misleading claims related to greenwashing. In greenwashing, a company or organization markets its products as sustainable or environmentally friendly but its claims are either misleading or outright incorrect.⁴ The SEC, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), and similar agencies in other countries had been intensifying efforts to address companies engaging in greenwashing.⁵ For example, investment bank DWS was fined \$25 million by the SEC after being charged with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) misstatement allegations.⁶ Kohl's and Walmart suffered similar FTC action; both retailers stated that one of the products they were selling was sustainable and manufactured using bamboo, which was found to be false. The two corporations settled for a combined \$5.5 million.⁷ Where the SEC's purpose was to protect investors, maintain fair, orderly, and efficient markets, and facilitate capital formation, the FTC's purpose was to protect consumers and promote competition by preventing fraud, deception, and unfair business practices.⁸

ⁱ Lucas Harrison is a fictional character.

Published by WDI Publishing, an Initiative of the William Davidson Institute (WDI) at the University of Michigan.

©2025 Carolyn Lynch, Rohan Shah, Leo Shear, and Audrey Weadock. This case was written by University of Michigan undergraduate students Carolyn Lynch, Rohan Parshad, Rohan Shah, Leo Shear, and Audrey Weadock, under the supervision of Andrew Hoffman, Holcim (US) Professor of Sustainable Enterprise, a position that holds joint appointments at the University of Michigan's Ross School of Business and School for Environment and Sustainability. The case was prepared as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a situation. The case should not be considered criticism or endorsement and should not be used as a source of primary data. The opening and closing situations in the case are fictional in order to provide a more robust student learning experience but otherwise it was written using publicly available sources.