



Stuart L. Hart Edward Carrington Kunjal Parnami Annie Smuts

case 5-276-235 August 20, 2025

A Dairy Dilemma: Nestlé's Balance Between Planet and Profit

As the winter sun cast long shadows over the frozen Potomac River, Janet Jakesⁱ gazed out the window of her Virginia office at Nestlé's U.S. headquarters. As vice president for sustainability for Nestlé USA, Jakes had spent the past year deeply involved in a groundbreaking partnership aimed at transforming the dairy industry's environmental footprint. Now, as 2024 drew to a close, she faced a critical decision that would shape the future of this ambitious initiative.

The partnership, known as the U.S. Dairy Net Zero Initiative, brought together corporate giants, environmental advocates, and farmers. Nestlé had joined The Nature Conservancy, Syngenta, and several dairy cooperatives to pilot Feed in Focus, an innovative approach to sustainable dairy production in Michigan and Wisconsin. The goal was ambitious: to demonstrate that it was possible to produce milk more sustainably while maintaining or even improving farm profitability.

At the heart of the project was a focus on best management practices in feed/forage production and feed efficiency. These practices were expected to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve soil health, and enhance water quality. The partnership provided farmers with technical assistance, financial incentives, and cutting-edge data monitoring and verification tools to implement these practices.³

However, as Jakes reviewed the latest progress report, she couldn't help but wonder about the long-term viability and scalability of the initiative. The pilot cohort, started in 2021, required significant investment from all partners, and expanding it to cover Nestlé's entire dairy supply chain would be a monumental undertaking. Moreover, some stakeholders within Nestlé questioned whether the company should be allocating resources to projects that primarily benefited farmers and local ecosystems, rather than focusing on direct business operations.

Published by WDI Publishing, an Initiative of the William Davidson Institute (WDI) at the University of Michigan.

©2025 Edward Carrington, Kunjal Parnami, and Annie Smuts. This case was written by University of Michigan graduate students Edward Carrington, Kunjal Parnami, and Annie Smuts, under the supervision of Stuart Hart, Professor in Residence at the University of Michigan's Erb Institute, assisted by Joshua Phan-Gruber, 2025 MBA/MS candidate at the University of Michigan. The case was prepared as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a situation. The case should not be considered criticism or endorsement and should not be used as a source of primary data. The opening and closing situations in the case are fictional in order to provide a more robust student learning experience but otherwise it was written using publicly available sources.

ⁱ Janet Jakes is a fictional character.